



Minutes

Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust Community Liaison Group

Meeting 7

Date: Monday 23 April, 2018 starting at 6.30pm
Location: Seminar Room 2, Hospital Reception,
Sydney Street, London SW3 6NP

Chair: Steve McAdam

Attendees:

Jane	Dorrell	JD	Dovehouse Street Residents Association
William	Dorrell	WD	Dovehouse Street Residents Association
France	Hamilton	FH	Chelsea Square
Cynthia	Rich	CR	Chelsea Square
Helen	Morgan Edwards	HME	Astell Street et al Residents Association
Kate	Hall	KH	Dovehouse Street Residents Association
Lindsey	Brock	LB	Dovehouse Street Residents Association
Richard	Burgess	RB	King's Road Association of Chelsea Residents
Peter	Fawcett	PF	Astell Street et al Residents Association

Agenda:

- 1.0 Introduction & apologies
- 2.0 Project update
- 3.0 Enabling works
- 4.0 Design update
- 5.0 Transport and access update
- 6.0 Q&A session
- 7.0 AOB
- 8.0 Close

1.0	Introductions
1.1	SM welcome everyone to the meeting and explained the evacuation procedures. It was noted that the CLG was due to clash with local election husting. CLG member had requested that the agenda was shortened to focus on key items and that the meeting be brief. It was therefore decided to focus on the design changes and transport strategy.
2.0 & 3.0	Project update
	These items were removed from the agenda, as per the comments in the Introduction section.
4.0	Design Update
4.1	James Philipps from Murphy Philipps provided an update on the design. JP stated that the biggest change to happen to the design since the last CLG meeting was the inclusion of an additional floor. JP explained that at the last CLG meeting, he was given a clear steer that the box on top of the roof needed further work. JP made it clear he wanted the building to be "a good neighbour, rather than the bride on the street".

	<p>JP explained that the trust has asked for more from the building and whilst that's good for clinical delivery, the question is how to tuck that away visually.</p> <p>JP then showed a series of elevations of the consented scheme and what is proposed. JP explained how the proposed scheme design has responded more positively to local concerns and comments.</p> <p>JP explained that the most significant change has occurred on the first floor which is now responding to a greater clinical delivery requirements.</p> <p>JP then showed CGIs of the consented and proposed schemes.</p> <p>Questions were held until the end of the meeting.</p>
5.0	Transport and access update
	<p>Chris Gent from Velocity provided a brief update on the Transport strategy.</p> <p>CG explained that the strategy remains broadly the same as that presented at the last CLG There remain no changes to on-street car parking</p> <p>CG explained that at the last CLG there was a discussion about changes in vehicle construction routes. It was proposed Britten Street would be closed during construction, we have created a route system that will alleviate that.</p> <p>CG stated that the routing is flexible, so if residents have a particular concern please let us know.</p>
6.0	Q&A Session
	<p>Q. When would construction actually start? Jeremy – Q4 (Oct-Dec)</p> <p>Q. Would you do the imaging centre as standalone? Richard Paterson – We would do that first and then take stock about building the rest of the block.</p> <p>A general point was raised reading the general impact of existing pollution levels getting worse with the impact of the development. People have been sick because of the pollution.</p> <p>Another point was made that Intersections on Kings Road and Fulham Road which will cease up with all this traffic. They will all become gridlocked with construction traffic. CG explained that we can't really answer the questions for other sites, but we can show what we plan to do to mitigate.</p> <p>SM stated that typically a Construction Liaison Group would be formed to liaise with the Construction team and resolve issued after commencement.</p> <p>GENERAL Qs</p> <p>One attendee stated that they “still don't care for the glazing. It is important as a resident looking at this and I am concerned about light pollution”.</p> <p>Another attendee stated that “the stone could have a bit more edge/detailing. Always encourage the use of ornate tops on buildings”.</p> <p>An attendee state that the detail on the edge of the nurses' home is attractive. Would it be possible to do the same detailing down the side of the new building? JP explained that there are elements of it running across the building to give it the same message. But keen not to give the new building more prominence.</p>

	A final comment was made asking if the chimneys/flues could be painted matt-black.
5.0	AOB
6.1	No further business was raised.
6.0	Closing comments
6.1	SM brought the meeting to a close, and thanked all for their attendance.